

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ON THE CHEAP, LLC, a)
California Corporation,)
Plaintiff(s),)
v.)
DOES 1-5011,)
Defendant(s).)
_____)

No. C10-4472 BZ

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST
FOR MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF**

Having reviewed plaintiff's recent filing (Docket No. 86), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:


1. Plaintiff's request for additional time to notify Doe defendants about this Court's September 6, 2011 Order Severing Doe Defendants 1-16 and 18-5011 ("Severance Order") is **GRANTED IN PART**. Plaintiff shall have until **October, 7, 2011** to notify the Doe defendants, as required by the Severance Order, on the condition that any settlement that plaintiff may reach with a Doe defendant after September 20, 2011 will only be effective if that Doe defendant has received notice of the Severance Order and does not object to the settlement.

1 2. By **October 20, 2011**, plaintiff's counsel shall file a
2 declaration attesting that plaintiff has complied with the
3 notice requirements set out by the Court, and identifying any
4 settlements that were consummated after September 20, 2011.

5 3. Plaintiff's request to provide notification by e-mail
6 is **GRANTED** as to any Doe defendants that received a settlement
7 demand from plaintiff by e-mail, but otherwise **DENIED**.

8 4. The Court's Order Re Doe 231 (Docket No. 81) is
9 **VACATED**. Because the Court is unable to identify the Doe
10 defendant with an IP address of 75.105.12.149, that
11 defendant's motion to quash is **DENIED** without prejudice and
12 plaintiff is not required to notify any ISP provider with
13 respect to that ISP address.

14 Dated: September 28, 2011



Bernard Zimmerman
United States Magistrate Judge

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 G:\BZALL\BZCASES\ON THE CHEAP V. DOES\ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S MISC. REQUEST FOR
23 RELIEF.wpd
24
25
26
27
28